

MAILED

SEP 25 2006

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte WIM DE PAUW, NICK MITCHELL, MARTIN ROBILLARD,
GARY SEVITSKY and HARINI SRINIVASAN

Application 10/040,344

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on August 15, 2006. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matter requiring attention prior to docketing is identified below.

The content under the heading “**SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER**” contained in the Appeal Brief filed on October 11, 2005 does not provide a sufficient summary of the independent claims involved in the appeal, which shall refer to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters. For each

independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately under the provisions of paragraph (c)(1)(vii) of this section. [See 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(v).]

A Supplemental Appeal Brief that is in compliance with 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(v) is required.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is being electronically returned to the Examiner to have appellants submit a Supplemental Appeal Brief that is in compliance with 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(v) for the “Summary of Claimed Subject Matter,” section contained in the Appeal Brief filed on October 11, 2005, and for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

By:



DALE M. SHAW

Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator
(571) 272-9797

GJH

cc: RYAN, MASON & LEWIS, LLP
1300 POST ROAD, SUITE 205
FAIRFIELD, CT 06430